Bye	ong K	ook Kim Volume 1
	1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
	3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
	4	
	5	NICHIA CORPORATION,
	6	Plaintiff,
	7	vs. NO. 3:06-CV-0612
		(MMC)(JCS)
	8	SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR, LTD.,
		SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
	9	
		Defendants.
	10	
		/
	11	
	12	DEPOSITION OF SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR CO., LTD.
	13	BY BYEONG KOOK KIM
	14	WEDNESDAY, APRIL 4, 2007
	15	VOLUME 1, PAGES 1-114
	16	
	17	THIS TRANSCRIPT IS DESIGNATED HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
	18	PURSUANT TO THE PROTECTIVE ORDER
	19	
	20	
	21	
	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	

Byeong Kook Kim

Volume 1

1	REDACTED
2	
3	Q. Do you know which of these choices is not
4	accurate?
5	A. So are you looking for my personal
6	knowledge in this?
7	Q. Yes.
8	A. Just by looking at these four choices, I
9	wouldn't know what the correct answer might be.
10	Q. If I understand your earlier testimony,
11	you're saying that this was Exhibit 173 was used
12	to test Seoul's sales personnel?
13	A. Yes.
14	Q. So were the salespeople trained by Seoul
15	to understand that the Seoul 902 series LED was
16	similar to Nichia's 335 LED?
17	MR AGARWAL: Object to the question as
18	vague.
19	THE WITNESS: Well, in your question, you
20	used the term "trained," and I just told you that
21	this is for testing purposes, and the way I see it, I
22	wonder if training is something that is different
23	from testing.
24	BY MR. KROSIN:
25	Q. Well, were Seoul's sales personnel

Case3:06-cv-00162-MMC Document295-2 Filed06/15/07 Page3 of 11

R	eong	Kook	Kim
D	/eong	NOON	LZIIII

eong k	Kook Kim Volume 1
1	supposed to understand that Seoul's 902 series LEDs
2	was similar to Nichia's 335 series LED?
3	MR AGARWAL: Object to the question as
4	vague.
5	THE WITNESS: When you say they're
6	supposed to understand that, does that, by any
7	chance, mean that they're required to understand
8	that? I mean, how am I supposed to understand your
9	question exactly?
10	BY MR. KROSIN:
11	Q. Well, if they said that the 902 LED was
12	not similar to the 335 LED, would they get that
13	question wrong?
14	MR AGARWAL: Objection. The question
15	mischaracterizes the document.
16	THE WITNESS: If I could hear the question
17	one more time, please?
18	BY MR. KROSIN:
19	Q. If one of the salespersons taking this
20	evaluation test answered question No. 2 by stating
21	that the 902 series LED was not similar to Nichia's
22	335 LED, would that be an incorrect answer?
23	MR AGARWAL: Objection. Calls for
24	speculation. If you have an answer, you may answer.

THE WITNESS: I apologize. I forget what

Byeong Kook Kim		ook Kim Volume 1
	1 ,	
	2	I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand
	3	Reporter of the State of California, do hereby
	4	certify:
	5	That the foregoing proceedings were taken
	6	before me at the time and place herein set forth;
	7	that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings,
	8	prior to testifying, were placed under oath; that a
	9	verbatim record of the proceedings was made by me
	10	using machine shorthand which was thereafter
	11	transcribed under my direction; further, that the
	12	foregoing is an accurate transcription thereof.
	13	I further certify that I am neither
	14	financially interested in the action nor a relative
	15	or employee of any attorney of any of the parties.
	16	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date subscribed my
	17	name.
	18	
	19	Dated:
	20	
	21	
	22	
		DEIRDRE F. CRAM, RPR
	23	CSR No. 9339
	24	
	25	

Byeong I	Kook Kim Volume 2
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
4	
5	NICHIA CORPORATION,
6	Plaintiff,
7	vs. NO. 3:06-CV-0612
	(MMC)(JCS)
8	SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR, LTD.,
	SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR, INC.,
9	
	Defendants.
10	/
11	
12	CONTINUED 30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF
13	SEOUL SEMICONDUCTOR CO., LTD. BY BYEONG KOOK KIM
14	THURSDAY, APRIL 5, 2007
15	VOLUME II, Pages 115-241
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Byeong K	Cook Kim Volume 2
1	THE WITNESS: When you say "where" in your
2	question, what do you mean by that?
3	BY MR. KROSIN:
4	
5	
6	
7	REDACTED
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	REDACTED
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	REDACTED
23	REDACT
24	
25	

Byeong Kook Kim Volume 2 1 2 REDACTED 3 4 5 THE WITNESS: Well, as I indicated to you 6 earlier, our company is not interested in finding out 7 where those products are sold to. That was the 8 answer that I gave you earlier. 9 Now, your question -- your present 10 question is asking me whether there is anyone in our 11 company who would know about that. To that question, 12 what I can say is that, occasionally, among the 13 working level people, they might get to hear some 14 information about that, although it might not be 15 accurate. So some hearsay, they might have access 16 to, as to where those products might be sold to.

> To that extent, they should have such information, but the answer that I gave you earlier was, in general, from our company's perspective, our company is not interested in finding out where products might be sold to, nor do we trace where the products might be sold in. So this would be my answer to your present question.

> > REDACTED

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BY MR. KROSIN:

Вуе	ong	Kook Kim Volume 2
	1	
	2	
	3	REDACTED
	4	REDITCIED
	5	
	6	
	7	
	8	
	9	
	10	REDACTED
	11	ALDACTED .
	12	
	13	
	14	THE WITNESS: My understanding is that
	15	these LCD modules are made or manufactured mostly in
	16	Asia, mostly in Korea, China and Taiwan. And the
	17	members of our company would know where those
	18	products are sold that, be that America or wherever,
	19	that's something that we would not know.
	20	(Deposition Exhibit No. 218 was marked
	21	for identification.)
	22	BY MR. KROSIN:
	23	Q. Mr. Kim, Exhibit 218 is an e-mail dated
	24	March 22, 2005, Bates number SSC 24001 through 24002.
	25	Have you seen this document before?

4/5/2007 Page 205

Byeor	Kook Kim Volume 2
. 1	Has Seoul's GM team sold any 902s to
2	mobile phone companies?
3	MR. KROSIN: Objection to form. Asked and
. 2	answered.
5	THE WITNESS: Seoul's GM team has not sold
6	any 902s to any mobile phone companies.
7	BY MR. AGARWAL:
. 8	Q. Let me have you pull up Exhibit 206.
,	(Previously marked Exhibit 206 was
1	shown to the witness.)
1	BY MR. AGARWAL:
1	Q. Let me have you turn to what is marked at
1	SSC 2587. Counsel for Nichia asked you about this
1	page and pointed you to a column with the heading
1	"E-User."
1	A. Yes.
1	
1	REDACTED
1	
2	
2	As part of responding to his question, you
2	noted to that question, "What I can say is that
2	occasionally, among the working-level people that
2	might get to hear some information about that,
2	although it might not be accurate. So some hearsay

Byeong	Kook	Kim
--------	------	-----

Volume 2

1	they might have access to as to where those products
2	might be sold. To that extent, they should have such
3	information, but the answer that I gave you earlier
4	was, in general, from our company's perspective, our
5	company is not interested in finding out where
6	products might be sold to, nor do we trace where the
7	product might be sold in."
8	My question is, what did you mean by
9	"hearsay"?
10	A. What I said was rumors, basically. So
11	it's a common expression for those would be rumors.
12	Q. And what do you mean by rumors or hearsay
13	among working-level people?
14	A. What I meant by that is that as the
15	members working on the working level are engaged in
16	their activities, they would get to hear these rumors
17	or hearsay. So this is something that cannot be
18	verified because it's not something that we got to
19	hear directly or officially from the company itself.
20	So, in that regard, it is not accurate,
21	and it's also changeable at any time. So that is why
22	I gave you that answer.
23	Q. Let me ask you look at Exhibit 219.
24	(Previously marked Exhibit 219 was
25	shown to the witness.)

Volume 2 Byeong Kook Kim 1 I, the undersigned, a Certified Shorthand 2 3 Reporter of the State of California, do hereby 4 certify: 5 That the foregoing proceedings were taken 6 before me at the time and place herein set forth; 7 that any witnesses in the foregoing proceedings, 8 prior to testifying, were placed under oath; that a 9 verbatim record of the proceedings was made by me 10 using machine shorthand which was thereafter 11 transcribed under my direction; further, that the 12 foregoing is an accurate transcription thereof. 13 I further certify that I am neither 14 financially interested in the action nor a relative 15 or employee of any attorney of any of the parties. 16 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have this date 17 subscribed my name. 18 19 Dated: 20 21 22 DEIRDRE F. CRAM, RPR 23 CSR No. 9339 24 25

4/5/2007